

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,936	05/11/2005	Diane Joyce Burt	102792-418(11033P3)	4983
27389	7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
NORRIS, M	CLAUGHLIN & MA	BOYER, CHARLES I		
18TH FLOOR	- · -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10022		1751	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\supset	
	<u>-</u> .	Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/5	25,936	BURT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary				Art Unit		
			les I. Boyer	1751		
T	he MAILING DATE of this com			1	dress	
Period for R	• •					
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERICE VER IS LONGER, FROM THE SET OF THE MET OF T	HE MAILING DATE O visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In s communication. num statutory period will apply or reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of	F THIS COMMUNI no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MOI ne application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Februar	v 2005			
·	is action is FINAL .	·				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	sed in accordance with the p		= -			
				.,		
Disposition						
	aim(s) <u>1-15,17 and 18</u> is/are					
	Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn fror	n consideration.			
·	aim(s) is/are allowed.	nd 19 is/are rejected				
	aim(s) <u>1-5,7-9,11,13-15,17 a.</u> aim(s) <u>6,10 and 12</u> is/are obje					
	aim(s) <u>o, ro and rz</u> israre object to re		ion requirement			
٥,٢ و١٠	a.o. casjoot to t		ion roquironioni.			
Application	Papers					
	e specification is objected to		_			
	e drawing(s) filed on is		•	•		
	plicant may not request that any	•				
	placement drawing sheet(s) incl	•	•	• • •		
11)∐ In∈	e oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examine	r. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1	·O-152.	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Ack	knowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ <i>A</i>			•	• (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
1.[Certified copies of the pri	iority documents have	been received.			
2.[☐ Certified copies of the pri	iority documents have	been received in A	Application No	•	
3.[Copies of the certified co	pies of the priority do	cuments have beer	received in this National	Stage	
	application from the Inter	national Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See	the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the	certified copies no	t received.		
Attachment(s)						
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) \square Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	0.450)	
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 o(s)/Mail Date 2/25/05.	449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTC	J-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/525,936 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Colurciello et al, US 2004/192,569.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Colurciello et al teach encapsulated hard surface cleaning concentrates, an example of which comprises 6% alkyldimethylbenzylammonium chloride, 35% alcohol ethoxylate nonionic surfactant, 53% polyethylene glycol, and dye, wherein the composition is packaged in a polyvinyl alcohol packet which is added to water to form a

diluted composition (page 7, example 16). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

3. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 13-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dickler et al, US 6,037,319.

Dickler et al teach water-soluble packets containing liquid cleaning concentrates, an example of which comprises 0.05% alkyldimethylbenzylammonium chloride, 1% alcohol ethoxylate nonionic surfactant, 98.95% hexylene glycol, and fragrance, wherein the composition is packaged in a polyvinyl alcohol packet which is added to water to form a diluted composition (col. 8, example 13). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

4. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 13-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being Schulz et al, US 5,674,831.

Schulz et al teach cleaning compositions in block form comprising 10% alkyldimethylbenzylammonium chloride, 38% nonionic surfactant mixture, 15% propylene glycol, and fragrance (col. 14, example 1), wherein the composition is preferably packaged in a polyvinyl alcohol packet which is added to water to form a use composition (col. 13, lines 15-23). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,936 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 13-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickler et al, US 6,037,319.

Dickler et al are relied upon as set forth above. Monoethanolamine may be added to these compositions in amounts as high as 1% to enhance product stability and cleaning performance (col. 4, lines 1-9). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include monoethanolamine in example 13 and so meet the material limitations of the claims at hand.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6, 10, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles I. Boyer whose telephone number is 571 272 1311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,936

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on 571 272 1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles I Boyer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751